

STUDY WITH NEURAL NETWORKS OF RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN DIFFERENT BERRY COMPONENTS IN GRAPES

Angel Castellanos, M.A. Esteban, Alvaro Martinez, Victor Palencia

Abstract: *The impact of water availability on Vitis vinifera L. cv. Tempranillo grape yields and juice composition over a three-year period was studied. Grape juice composition during the different stages of berry growth was compared. The analytical data collected were used to investigate the relationships between some of the different components studied in these berries during the ripening period. Our goal is to study new neural networks models with analysis of sensibility in order to predict more accurately the relationship existing between them.*

Keywords: *Neural Networks, clustering, Vitis vinifera, grapes, sugars, organic acids, mineral elements.*

ACM Classification Keywords: *C.1.3, I.5.2*

Introduction

The purpose of irrigation is to offset crop water deficits and thereby maximize yields and must quality, to increase profits [Rühl EH and Alleweldt G, 1985]. There are many regions with dry summers in Spain in which irrigation is an effective mean of regulating water availability to grape vines.

As has previously been noted by other workers [Williams LE and Matthews MA, Grapevine, 1990], irrigation of grape vines affects vine physiology, which may directly or indirectly affect yield and grape composition (°Brix, pH, total acidity, etc.) two aspects that also influence wine quality. There is considerable controversy in the literature concerning the positive and negative effects of vine irrigation on must and wine quality [Van Zyl JL, 1984]. Response to irrigation will depend upon such factors as harvest time, crop load, soil water availability and primarily summer rainfall.

Sugar concentration is used as an indicator of fruit maturity, being glucose and fructose the principal sugars in grape juices [Ough CS and Amerine MA, 1988]. Irrigation has a variable effect on sugar accumulation in the berries, and an increase, a decrease, or no change in sugar concentration have all been observed [Hardy P.J., 1968]. The sugar to acidity ratio parameter is ordinarily useful in evaluating the ripening period [Ribéreau-Gayon J, Peynaud E, Ribéreau-Gayon P and Sudraud P, 1975]. Both titratable acidity and pH are of great importance for grape juice stability and are parameters commonly used as an indicator of quality. This is because the concentration of organic acids does not only contributes to the acid taste of the must but also influences subsequent wine color and microbiological stability [Boulton RB, 1980]. According to Hrazdina et al. [Hrazdina G, Parsons GF and Mattick LR, 1984] changes in the pH of grape berries are caused by the metabolism of the major acids and the accumulation of cations, which transform free acids into their corresponding salts. Some authors [McCarthy MG, Ciriaco RM and McCloud P, 1983], [Romero EG, Muñoz GS and Ibañez MDC, 1993] have stated that decreases in titratable acidity are primarily due to losses in malic acid concentration and to the formation of potassium salts. Potassium is the main mineral cation in grapes [Peynaud E and Ribéreau-Gayon J, 1971], and is predominantly involved in neutralization of tartaric acid and malic acid in the berries, thereby affecting the acid characteristics of the grapes [Hale CR, 1977].

The object of the present study was to ascertain whether irrigation, which has a quantitative effect on the values of the different components analysed in berries of the Tempranillo (*Vitis vinifera* L.) grape variety, though that

effect is not always significant [Esteban MA, Villanueva MJ and Lissarrague JR, 1999], [Esteban MA, Villanueva MJ and Lissarrague JR, 2001] affects the relationships between the different components considered.

We use neural networks models with analysis of sensibility. This model predict more accurately the relationship existing.

Neural networks can predict any continuous relationship between inputs and the target. Similar to linear or non-linear regression, artificial neural networks develop a gain term that allows prediction of target variables for a given set of input variables. Physical-chemical relationships between input variables and target variables may or may not built into the association of target and input variables.

Neural networks [Anderson, James A. and Edward Rosenfield., 1988] are non-linear systems whose structure is based on principles observed in biological neuronal systems [Hanson, Stephen J. and David J. Burr. 1990]. A neural network could be seen as a system that can be able to answer a query or give an output as answer to a specific input. The in/out combination, i.e. the transfer function of the network is not programmed, but obtained through a training process on empiric datasets. In practice the network learns the function that links input together with output by processing correct input/output couples. Actually, for each given input, within the learning process, the network gives a certain output that is not exactly the desired output, so the training algorithm modifies some parameters of the network in the desired direction. Hence, every time an example is input, the algorithm adjusts its network parameters to the optimal values for the given solution: in this way the algorithm tries to reach the best solution for all the examples. These parameters we are speaking about are essentially the weights or linking factors between each neuron that forms our network.

Neural Networks' application fields are typically those where classic algorithms fail because of their inflexibility (they need precise input datasets). Usually problems with imprecise input datasets are those whose number of possible input datasets is so big that they cannot be classified. A field where classic algorithms are in troubles is the analysis of those phenomena whose mathematical rules are unknown. There are indeed rather complex algorithms which can analyses these phenomena but, from comparisons on the results, it comes out that neural networks result far more efficient: these algorithms use Fourier's transform to decompose phenomena in frequential components and for this reason they result highly complex and they can only extract a limited number of harmonics generating a big number of approximations. A neural network trained with complex phenomena's data is able to estimate also frequential components, this means that it realizes in its inside a Fourier's transform even if it was not trained for that.

One of the most important neural networks' applications is undoubtedly the estimation of complex phenomena such as meteorological, financial, socio-economical or urban events. Thanks to a neural network it is possible to predict, analyzing historical series of datasets just as with these systems but there is no need to restrict the problem or use Fourier's transform. A defect common to all those methods it is to restrict the problem setting certain hypothesis that can turn out to be wrong. We just have to train the neural network with historical series of data given by the phenomenon we are studying [Anderson, James A. and Edward Rosenfield., 1988.].

Calibrating a neural network means to determinate the parameters of the connections (synapses) through the training process. Once calibrated there is needed to test the network efficiency with known datasets, which has not been used in the learning process. There is a great number of Neural Networks [Anderson, James A. 1995] which are substantially distinguished by: type of use, learning model (supervised/non-supervised), learning algorithm, architecture, etc. Multilayer perceptrons (MLPs) are layered feed forward networks typically trained with static backpropagation. These networks have found their way into countless applications requiring static pattern classification. Their main advantage is that they are easy to use, and that they can approximate any input-output map. In principle, backpropagation provides a way to train networks with any number of hidden units arranged in any number of layers. In fact, the network does not have to be organized in layers any pattern of

connectivity that permits a partial ordering of the nodes from input to output is allowed. In other words, there must be a way to order the units such that all connections go from earlier (closer to the input) to later ones (closer to the output). This is equivalent to stating that their connection pattern must not contain any cycles. Networks that respect this constraint are called feed forward networks; their connection pattern forms a directed acyclic graph or dag.

Materials And Methods

Plant material

This experiment was conducted during three consecutive years in a vineyard planted with Richter 110 rootstock and grafted to *Vitis vinifera* L., cv. Tempranillo. The vineyard was located at the experimental fields of the Polytechnic University of Madrid. Vine spacing was 2m between rows and 1.35m within the row (3700 vines per hectare). Row orientation was North-South. All vines were head trained and cane-pruned (Guyot), and shoots were positioned with a vertical shoot positioning trellis system.

Irrigation treatments

Two irrigation regimes were established: irrigated (I) and non-irrigated (NI) vines. The object was to replace weekly vineyard evapotranspiration (ET_c) in the soil from the earliest stages of plant growth, which has depended of when the precipitation took place, as it has been described previously [Esteban MA, Villanueva MJ and Lissarrague JR, 1999]. The potential evapotranspiration (ET₀) was calculated from a class A pan evaporation [Doorenbos J and Pruitt WO, 1977]. Daily trickle irrigation was applied at 0.6 x ET₀ in the irrigated treatment (I), and no water was applied in the non-irrigated treatment (NI) over the entire growing season. Precipitation amounts less than 5 mm were ignored, and the irrigation application efficiency was considered to be 90%. The soil at this site had a water availability of 131 mm/m. Data on seasonal and annual rainfall, effective rainfall, total water applied, irrigation period, and accumulated growing degree days (10°C basis) from budbreak to harvest have been described in an earlier paper [Esteban MA, Villanueva MJ and Lissarrague JR, 1999].

Four replications of each of the two treatments were randomly distributed in the vineyard, each replication consisting of three rows with nine vine plots. Measurements were made on the central seven vines of the middle row.

Analytical determinations

General variables. Total soluble solids (°Brix) was measured using an Abbé type refractometer (Zeiss, mod.B) equipped with a temperature control system (20°C). Must pH was measured with a pH meter (Crison mod. MicropH 2001), using a glass electrode. Finally, titratable acidity was measured by titration with a base to an end point of pH=8.2 (20°C), and the results were expressed in g/L tartaric acid.

Glucose and fructose. Analysis of these two sugars was performed by HPLC according to the procedure described by Esteban et al. [Esteban MA, Villanueva MJ and Lissarrague JR, 1999].

The chromatograph employed was equipped with a refractive index detector (Waters 410 differential refractometer), and the sample and reference cells were held at 40 °C. An Aminex HPX-87P column (300 mm x 7.8 mm i.d., 9-µm particle size) with a guard column cartridge (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA, U.S.A.) was used. Data were processed using the Waters Millennium 2.0 chromatographic data system.

Tartaric acid and malic acid. Individual acids were determined by HPLC as previously described by Esteban et al. [Esteban MA, Villanueva MJ and Lissarrague JR, 1999] The chromatograph employed was a Waters liquid chromatograph equipped with a Waters model 996 PDA detector. An Aminex HPX-87C cation exchange column

0.003	0.001	0.99	5.22	0.003	0.01	0.99	6.04
-------	-------	------	------	-------	------	------	------

We have analyzed the two most important acids in the grape because they determine the value of the total acidity. As it happens with the total acidity, both acids influence in the °Brix value more than the berry weight in the irrigated treatment, whereas in the non- irrigated treatment this only happens with the malic acid and nor with the tartaric acid.

Study of the relationships between different variables and berry weight in I y NI treatments

Analysis of the results

I	°Brix	Ph	Total acidity	Berry weight	NI	°Brix	Ph	Total acidity	Berry weight
	29.960	15.411	54.629	100.000		60.653	28.628	10.719	100.000
	29.211	70.789		100.000		67.386	32.614		100.000
	37.080		62.920	100.000		76.970		23.030	100.000
		38.506	61.494	100.000			47.754	52.246	100.000

Active performance of the analysis

I	MSE	NMSE	r	%Error	NI	MSE	NMSE	r	%Error
	0.01	0.07	0.96	8.99		0.005	0.02	0.98	5.77
	0.02	0.08	0.94	10.4		0.005	0.02	0.98	5.88
	0.02	0.09	0.95	10.3		0.005	0.02	0.98	5.83
	0.03	0.12	0.93	10.9		0.02	0.11	0.93	11.08

It has been studied the importance of the impact of some variables (total acidity, pH and °Brix) on the berry weight. Thus we observed that total acidity is the variable that influences most in the irrigated treatment with 54.6%, followed by °Brix with 29.9% and finally pH with 15.4%. In the non-irrigated treatment °Brix is the variable that influence the most in the berry weight with a value of 60.6%, then the pH (28.6%) and the total acidity (10.7%).

We have also analyzed Glucose, Fructose, Tartaric and Malic.

I	Ph	Glucose	Fructose	Tartaric	Malic	Berry weight
	22.645	18.624	33.515	10.830	14.387	100.000
		20.461	34.891	11.707	32.942	100.000
NI	Ph	Glucosa	Fructosa	Tartárico	Málico	Berry weight
	18.590	36.107	25.640	8.388	11.275	100.000
		41.808	24.284	14.115	19.793	100.000

Active performance of the analysis

I	MSE	NMSE	r	%Error
	0.01	0.05	0.97	7.93
NI	MSE	NMSE	r	%Error
	0.004	0.02	0.98	5.3

Glucose and fructose are the most important sugars in the grapes and they are the ones that determine mainly the °Brix value. In the irrigated treatment pH is the variable that influences most in berry weight followed by fructose and glucose, although the amounts of the two sugars influence more than any other variable. However, in the non- irrigated treatment the impact of these two sugars is the highest.

Conclusion

These results provides that in both treatments, irrigated and non-irrigated vines, and during the different stages of the berry growth it is possible to stablish significative relationships between the parameters studied. The results with neural networks show that total acidity is the variable that influence most in °Brix value in both treatments, when the analysis has been total or with tartaric and malic acids except in the case of tartaric acid in the non irrigated treatment. It is also shown that °Brix value is the variable that influences most in the berry weight non irrigated treatment while total acidity is in the irrigated one. However, in both treatments glucose and fructose influence more in the berry weight than tartaric and malic acids.

Bibliography

- [Anderson, James A. 1995] Anderson, James A. An Introduction to Neural Networks Cambridge, MA: MIT Press (1995).
- [Anderson, James A. and Edward Rosenfield., 1988] Anderson, James A. and Edward Rosenfield. Neurocomputing: Foundations of Research Cambridge, MA: MIT Press (1988).
- [Boulton RB, 1980] Boulton RB, The relationship between total acidity, titratable acidity and pH in grape tissue. *Vitis* 19:113-120 (1980).
- [Doorenbos J and Pruitt WO, 1977] Doorenbos J and Pruitt WO, Guidelines for predicting crop water requirements. FAO Irrig. Drain. Paper 24 (1977).
- [Esteban MA, Villanueva MJ and Lissarrague JR, 1999] Esteban MA, Villanueva MJ and Lissarrague JR, Effect of irrigation on changes in berry composition of Tempranillo during maturation. Sugars, organic acids and mineral elements. *Amer J Enol Viticult* 50:418-434 (1999).
- [Esteban MA, Villanueva MJ and Lissarrague JR, 2001] Esteban MA, Villanueva MJ and Lissarrague JR, Effect of irrigation on changes in the anthocyanin composition of the skin of cv Tempranillo (*Vitis vinifera* L.) grape berries during ripening. *J Sci Food Agric* 81:409-420 (2001).
- [Hale CR, 1977] Hale CR, Relation between potassium and the malate and tartrate contents of grape berries. *Vitis* 16:9-19 (1977).
- [Hardy P.J.,1968] Hardy P.J. Metabolism of sugars and organic acids in immature grape berries. *Plant Physiol.* 43:224-228 (1968).
- [Hanson, Stephen J. and David J. Burr. 1990] Hanson, Stephen J. and David J. Burr. "What connectionist models learn: Learning and representation in connectionist networks." *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 471-518 (Sept. 1990).
- [Hrazdina G, Parsons GF and Mattick LR, 1984] Hrazdina G, Parsons GF and Mattick LR, Physiological and biochemical events during development and maturation of grape berries. *Am J Enol Vitic* 35:220-227 (1984).
- [Kliewer WM, 1968] Kliewer WM, Changes in concentration of free amino acids in grape berries during maturation. *Amer J Enol Viticult* 19:166-174 (1968).
- [McCarthy MG, Cirami RM and McCloud P, 1983] McCarthy MG, Cirami RM and McCloud P, Vine and fruit responses to supplementary irrigation and canopy management. *S Afr J Enol Vitic* 4:67-76 (1983).
- [Ough CS, 1968] Ough CS, Proline content of grapes and wine. *Vitis* 7:321-31 (1968).
- [Ough CS and Amerine MA, 1988] Ough CS and Amerine MA, Methods for analysis of musts and wines. John Wiley and Sons, New York (1988).
- [Peynaud E and Ribéreau-Gayon J, 1971] Peynaud E and Ribéreau-Gayon J, The grape. In: *The biochemistry of fruits and their products*. Vol. II. Ed by Hulme AC, Academic Press, London and New York, pp. 171-205 (1971).

- [Ribéreau-Gayon J, Peynaud E, Ribéreau-Gayon P and Sudraud P, 1975] Ribéreau-Gayon J, Peynaud E, Ribéreau-Gayon P and Sudraud P, *Traité d'oenologie Sciences et techniques du vin*. Vol. 2. Ed. Dunod, Paris (1975).
- [Romero EG, Muñoz GS and Ibañez MDC, 1993] Romero EG, Muñoz GS and Ibañez MDC, Determination of organic acids in grape musts, wines and vinegars by high-performance liquid chromatography. *J Chromatogr* 655:111-117 (1993).
- [Ribéreau-Gayon J, Peynaud E, Ribéreau-Gayon P and Sudraud P, 1975] Ribéreau-Gayon J, Peynaud E, Ribéreau-Gayon P and Sudraud P, *Traité d'oenologie Sciences et techniques du vin*. Vol. 3. Ed. Dunod, Paris (1976).
- [Rühl EH and Alleweldt G, 1985] Rühl EH and Alleweldt G, Investigations into the influence of time of irrigation on yield and quality of grapevines. *Acta Horticulturae* 171:457-462 (1985).
- [Van Zyl JL, 1984] Van Zyl JL, Response of Colombar grapevines to irrigation as regards quality aspects and growth. *S Afr J Enol Vitic* 5:19-28 (1984).
- [Williams LE and Matthews MA, Grapevine, 1990] Williams LE and Matthews MA, Grapevine. In: *Irrigation of Agricultural Crops*, (Agronomy Monograph No. 30), Ed by Stewart, BA and Nielsen DR, ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 1019-1055 (1990).

Authors' Information

Castellanos A. – Departamento de Ciencias Basicas aplicadas a la Ingeniería Forestal. Escuela de Ingeniería Técnica Forestal. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Avda. de Ramiro de Maeztu s/n 28040 Madrid, Spain; e-mail: angel.castellanos@upm.es

Esteban M.A. – Ministerio de Agricultura. P.º Infanta Isabel 1, 28014 Madrid, Spain; e-mail: marita.esteban@ya.com

Martinez A. – Natural computing group. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain; e-mail: a.martinez@upm.es

Palencia V. – Natural computing group. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain; e-mail: vpalencia@fi.upm.es